

UNCLASSIFIED

EU2
RELEASED IN FULL

POLITICAL

174 PCTR 07 E
Original: English



NATO Parliamentary Assembly

**SUB-COMMITTEE ON
TRANSATLANTIC RELATIONS**

**FIVE YEARS INTO THE FIGHT AGAINST
TERRORISM – IMPACT AND IMPLICATIONS FOR
THE ATLANTIC ALLIANCE**

DRAFT REPORT

RUPRECHT POLENZ (GERMANY)
RAPPORTEUR*

International Secretariat

27 August 20007

- * Until this document has been approved by the Political Committee, it represents only the views of the Rapporteur.

Assembly documents are available on its website, <http://www.nato-pa.int>

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE
REVIEW AUTHORITY: ARCHIE M BOLSTER
CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED
DATE/CASE ID: 05 MAR 2009 200706444

UNCLASSIFIED

174 PCTR 07 E

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. DEFINING THE THREAT	1
III. NATO'S EVOLVING ROLE IN COMBATING TERRORIST GROUPS.....	1
IV. IRAQ	4
V. THE BROADER MIDDLE EAST	5
VI. THE NEED FOR BROAD INTERNATIONAL CO-OPERATION.....	6
A. THE ROLE OF THE UNITED NATIONS	6
B. THE ROLE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION.....	7
VII. THE DIFFICULTY OF MAINTAINING A "COMMON FRONT" AGAINST TERRORISM	8
VIII. WHERE DO WE STAND?	9
IX. PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS	11

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The terrorist attacks on the United States on 11 September 2001 dramatically changed the global security landscape and had a profound impact on the transatlantic relationship and NATO as an alliance.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

2. This report takes a brief look at where the transatlantic partners stand five years after US President George W. Bush declared the "war on terror". The paper argues that progress has been made, but that the Allies need to expand and refine their instruments and that NATO's political-diplomatic clout has not been used to its full potential. NATO's role in combating terrorism is important, but limited. Many of the essential activities of the fight against terrorism occur outside NATO, through bilateral co-operation, or are done by international actors such as the UN.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

II. DEFINING THE THREAT

3. Terrorism is not a new phenomenon, but it has evolved over the past years. Today's threat of terrorism, brutally exposed by 9/11, does not come from an organised enemy with a clear identity and a coherent set of objectives. The threat emanates from a relatively small number of loose, shifting and disparate groups that want to force their individual and narrow values on others through violence. Religious extremism, while not the sole motivation for terrorism, is likely to be the most immediate source of terrorist threats in the short to medium term. In 2006, 706 individuals were arrested in 15 EU member states on suspicion of terrorism offences, and half of these arrests were related to Islamist terrorism. Among the range of terrorist threats, that of "home-grown" terrorism is a particular concern, because it is more difficult to anticipate the motives or actions of potential terrorists who are citizens of Western countries. "Home-grown" Islamist terrorism is considered a bigger problem in Europe than in the United States or in Canada. The Muslim communities in Western European countries account for a larger percentage of the population and Muslims in North America are generally better integrated than their counterparts in Europe.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

4. The threat of terrorism is global and the widespread availability of information technology has enabled terrorist organisations to communicate in new and more effective ways. Terrorists can increasingly operate "virtually" and without formal structures. They can share tactics and strategies through the internet and there is evidence of collaboration between terrorist organisations and international criminal organisations.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

5. Terrorist groups like al Qaeda have planned attacks across the world, from Afghanistan to Indonesia to the United Kingdom and the United States. Terrorist groups work across borders and in stateless cyberspace. No single nation can defeat terrorism on its own, as it is a global threat. International co-operation between national authorities, and firm and decisive resistance of the societies is essential to ensuring the safety of innocent civilians around the world.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

III. NATO'S EVOLVING ROLE IN COMBATING TERRORIST GROUPS

6. All international organisations have some role to play in combating terrorism, as it is a multi-faceted threat requiring a multi-pronged response. However, as a long-established political-military organisation, NATO has particular comparative advantages in this struggle. The capabilities of NATO member countries and their co-operation with geographically, ethnically, and culturally diverse partner countries, allow NATO as an organisation to create counter-terrorism strategies that are useful to a wide range of situations and threats. NATO can

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

also help countries with weaker defences against terrorism by disseminating expertise from countries that have had more experience in this area. Through the North Atlantic Council (NAC) and its consensus-driven decision-making, NATO has the ability to generate political will among the Allies to address terrorism. NATO also has proven intelligence-sharing channels, used predominantly in the military domain. NATO has the military assets needed for operations to disrupt terrorist activities, including force generation and command and control procedures. Finally, through science and technology co-operation, NATO can perform the research and development necessary to find new methods to counteract terrorism. Together, these areas are essential to tackle terrorist groups, and should be fully exploited by Allies.

7. The attacks of 9/11 were a turning point for NATO, propelling the fight against internationally active terrorist groups to the top of NATO's priorities. Almost all of NATO's activities today are shaped by the struggle against terrorism. While terrorism was listed as a threat when NATO revised its Strategic Concept in 1999, there was little talk within the Alliance, at that time, either at the strategic or at the operational level, about how NATO should combat this threat. However, within 24 hours of the terrorist attacks on America the Alliance invoked Article 5 of the Washington Treaty for the first time in its history. This interpretation of collective defence, which the founders of NATO could not have envisioned, made abundantly clear that the Alliance faced a new threat, and that the Alliance needed to find a way to respond.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

8. Operationally, the Alliance agreed to eight measures to aid the United States immediately after 9/11, including its first official counter-terrorism operations. NATO deployed its Standing Naval Force Mediterranean (STANAVFORMED) to the Eastern Mediterranean (Operation "Active Endeavour" - OAE) and sent Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS) aircraft to help defend the United States from further attack. Additionally, NATO members agreed upon increased intelligence sharing, assistance to states subject to increased terrorist threat, blanket overflight rights for counter-terrorism operations, free access to ports, and finally to "backfill" selected Allied assets that were being used to fight terrorism.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

9. Politically, however, these eight measures were seen as small compared to the operation that the United States launched to overthrow the Taliban in Afghanistan. NATO Allies had offered the United States much more support for its initial campaign in Afghanistan, but the US administration preferred to run the campaign through its own Central Command, rather than through NATO command. This decision was largely based on the US administration's perception that the Kosovo campaign was made more difficult through problems with "targeting by committee". It was also due to the fact that NATO lacked, at that point, the range of capabilities needed for such a large operation far from NATO territory, particularly one that relied heavily on Special Forces. In the end, however, 15 of the then 19 Allies contributed to the initial Afghanistan campaign on a national basis, including large troop contributions and air and naval resources.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

10. Following intense discussion among member states as to the appropriate role for the Alliance in the fight against terrorism, the Allies agreed in early 2002 that NATO's role should be "to help deter, defend, disrupt, and protect against terrorist attacks, or threat of attacks, directed from abroad against our populations, territory, infrastructure and forces of any member state, including by acting against these terrorists and those that harbour them," as the political guidance provided by the NAC stated. This overall goal has directed all further efforts by the Alliance to combat terrorism.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

11. Recent NATO Summits have adopted incremental measures to enhance Allied capabilities to fight terrorism. At the Prague Summit in 2002, NATO Heads of State and Government agreed on a new Military Concept for the Defence against Terrorism that recognised four key areas where NATO can play a role: antiterrorism, i.e. defensive measures against terrorism, management of the consequences of a terrorist attack, offensive counter-terrorism operations,

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

and military co-operation, particularly intelligence sharing. These four areas are clearly military competencies, and on these military dimensions NATO has made much progress. The Alliance also initiated a new Missile Defence Feasibility Study.

12. NATO's Comprehensive Political Guidance (CPG), endorsed by NATO Heads of State and Government in November 2006 at the Riga Summit, states that NATO must have the "ability to deter, disrupt, defend and protect against terrorism, and more particularly to contribute to the protection of the Alliance's populations, territory, critical infrastructure and forces, and to support consequence management". The CPG also refers to the importance of protecting information systems of critical importance to the Alliance against cyber attacks.

13. The transformation in NATO capabilities since autumn 2001 is perhaps the most striking change in the Alliance. At the Prague Summit, the Allies adopted a three-pronged approach to improving their defence capabilities - launching of the Prague Capabilities Commitment (PCC), the creation of the NATO Response Force (NRF) and the streamlining of the military command structure. The PCC set specific targets for each Ally, and the Alliance collectively, to increase capabilities in over 400 specific areas. While these capabilities span many different military fields, all are relevant to counter-terrorist operations. Progress on implementation of the PCC, however, has been mixed, thereby delaying and diminishing NATO's usefulness in the struggle against terrorism.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

14. More successful has been the creation of the NRF, a Rapid Reaction Force that is self-sustainable and able to deploy for any crisis anywhere in the world. The NRF was declared fully operational at the Riga Summit in November 2006 and can be a key asset in any future counter-terrorism operation. Combining land, air, sea, and Special Forces into one package, the NRF is deployable within five days of a North Atlantic Council decision to do so. However, force generation for the NRF still poses problems. Shortfall in terms of troops and equipment remain and need to be tackled.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

15. NATO has also developed key capabilities in the management of a possible terrorist attack. In Prague the Allies agreed on five initiatives to develop capabilities in dealing with chemical, biological, radiological, or nuclear (CBRN) attacks. The largest of these initiatives was the creation of a Multinational CBRN Defence Battalion, which can be deployed with NATO forces or on its own to provide a full range of CBRN defences, including reconnaissance, detection, and light and heavy decontamination units. The Battalion has already been deployed to aid civilian authorities during the 2004 Olympic Games in Athens, Greece. In addition, NATO has compiled an inventory of Allies' response capabilities under a terrorist attack that can be called upon if an incident occurs.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

16. The Alliance has also improved intelligence sharing since 9/11. At the Istanbul Summit in 2004, the Allies agreed to create a joint Terrorist Threat Intelligence Unit, which uses both civilian and military intelligence resources to provide advice to the NAC and the NATO staff. However, as intelligence is by its nature confidential and paramount to each nation's security, most intelligence sharing still happens on a bilateral basis, making it difficult for NATO to add value in this area. Still, a thorough review of NATO intelligence is underway to identify means of ensuring that NATO staff has timely access to accurate intelligence.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

17. NATO's operations are also aiding the struggle against terrorism. OAE, NATO's only Article 5 operation, continues to help detect and deter terrorist activity in the Mediterranean. Broadly defined, its mandate has been expanded since it was created in 2001 to cover the entire Mediterranean and to counter terrorism in the region. OAE now involves intelligence sharing in targeting of specific vessels of interest that can be tracked, or even boarded. NATO ships also patrol and survey choke points in the region, such as important passages and harbours. In addition, while their primary goal is to create and maintain a secure environment, NATO

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

operations in Afghanistan and in the Western Balkans also include significant counter-terrorism components. These operations rely on NATO's main comparative advantages in fighting terrorism - its integrated military structure, highly developed military planning mechanisms, and less tangibly, its moral authority as an alliance of democracies.

18. The NATO-led International Security Assistance Force (ISAF) in Afghanistan continues to assist the Government of Afghanistan, as part of the international community's broader efforts in creating and maintaining a secure environment across all Afghanistan. In this context ISAF can be considered to be by far the most important contribution of the Alliance in the fight against international terrorism. It is the most challenging mission NATO has ever taken on and its primary task there is to assist the Afghan authorities in providing security and stability. In addition, the Alliance has also become involved in reconstruction efforts. Significant progress has been achieved, but the security situation in Afghanistan markedly worsened during 2006, particularly in the south of the country. Suicide bombings, previously unknown in Afghanistan, have increased fourfold from 2005 and the Taliban were able to perform major military operations against Afghan and ISAF units at the end of last year. Security has also deteriorated in other parts of Afghanistan, including in Kabul. The decreased level of security has already hampered reconstruction efforts and limited NGO assistance activities mainly to urban areas. This year's General Report of the Political Committee offers a closer look at the operations in Afghanistan.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

19. Partnerships, too, are part and parcel of the fight against terrorism. NATO and Partner countries agreed to the Partnership Action Plan on Terrorism in November 2002, which provides a framework for co-operation against terrorism. This mechanism is important for generating political will to fight terrorism across a broad range of states and for exchanging information on preparedness as well as on ways to impede support to terrorist groups. Co-operation on terrorism is also a key aspect in the founding charter of the NATO-Russia Council (NRC), signed in 2002. The NRC draws up an annual action plan on terrorism and conducts training exercises to manage the consequences of a terrorist attack. In 2006 Russia joined OAE. NATO's Mediterranean Dialogue also contributes to the fight against terrorism which, through individual co-operation programmes (ICP), offers the opportunity to focus more on terrorism. NATO and partner countries also established the Euro-Atlantic Disaster Response Coordination Centre (EADRCC), a mechanism through which NATO can coordinate responses to a terrorist attack or other disasters by all NATO Allies and Partners. The EADRCC helps to avoid duplication in an emergency, and also facilitates training to improve capabilities.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

IV. IRAQ

20. The US administration has defined the Iraq war as the "front line in the 'war on terror'". The involvement and the presence of the Alliance in Iraq have been limited, however. NATO has provided communications, logistical and intelligence support to the Polish-led multinational division in Iraq and it has set up the NATO Training Mission-Iraq (NTM-I). Through NTM-I, NATO has trained over 4,000 mid- and senior-level military officers in the country, and over 900 officers in NATO and national facilities. NTM-I also co-ordinated donations of equipment to Iraq from a number of NATO countries worth more than €110 million in the last two years.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

21. There is no effective central government in Iraq and the overall security picture has been steadily deteriorating. Since 2003, an estimated 64,000 Iraqi civilians died as a result of insurgents' attacks and sectarian violence. Iraq has become one of the most dangerous places in the world. Sectarian clashes have continued to increase, as has the insurgents' capacity to organise high-impact attacks mainly through car-bombings. As reconciliation efforts have made little headway, the UN Security Council has, at the request of the Iraqi government, unanimously approved a resolution expanding the UN's role to help promote political talks among the country's

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

ethnic and religious groups. According to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), an estimated 2 million Iraqis have fled to neighbouring countries, primarily Jordan and Syria, and 1.9 million Iraqis are internally displaced in Iraq. Nearly 50,000 Iraqis leave the country every month. The deployment of 17,500 additional US troops as part of a major US-Iraqi "surge" operation to secure Baghdad appears to have reduced sectarian violence somewhat. According to an ABC News/USA Today/BBC News/ARD TV poll of late February/early March 2007, only 26% Iraqis feel safe in their neighbourhoods and 80% have experienced some forms of attack. Furthermore, living and economic conditions are significantly deteriorating given not only the worsening security situation but also the lack of rule of law, as well as rising levels of corruption. Iraq has become a training ground for terrorists and the US Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) estimates that approximately 10% of the Iraqi insurgency consists of foreign fighters, the majority of whom are allegedly suicide bombers.

22. The decision to go to war in Iraq had badly strained the transatlantic partnership, NATO, and also the EU. The Allies differed on whether Iraq represented an immediate threat and whether it was justified to use force against the regime of Saddam Hussein. While these tensions have now settled, there is still no transatlantic consensus on the best way to promote stability in the country.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

23. The US focus on the Iraq war has jeopardised the early military victory in Afghanistan of 2001. Moreover, the 2006 US National Intelligence Estimate concluded that the Iraq war has fuelled the growth of Islamist extremism. The war has also created a climate of growing mistrust between Islamic countries and the West. Linking democracy promotion and regime change sparked a debate not only among allies but also outside the Alliance. Unfortunately, the Iraq war has discredited democracy in the eyes of many Iraqis. Many Muslims throughout the world regard the war in Iraq as unjust and there is a deep suspicion of US motives among the Muslims in the region. The pictures of the Abu Ghraib and Guantanamo prisons and related events have increased anti-American sentiment among Muslims around the world. Democracy promotion's place in foreign policy has become controversial with the debate over the war in Iraq.

V. THE BROADER MIDDLE EAST

24. Islamic fundamentalists argue that terrorist action is a result of policy, primarily the perceived injustice in Palestine and the presence of a non-Muslim military on sacred Muslim soil in Saudi Arabia. The Middle East conflict is one of the most important, if not the most important, stumbling blocks on the path towards reducing terrorism. Many Muslims are critical of the United States and the West because they perceive that we have backed repressive regimes in the Middle East and that we appear to be tacitly supporting repression and imprisonment of dissenters. Moreover, many Muslims perceive that the United States and the European countries support Israel and its occupation of Arab and Muslim territories. They feel that the United States and the West are applying double standards and they also cannot understand the opposition to Iran's nuclear programme while Israel's was ignored. However, the primary enemy for Islamic fundamentalists is not the United States and the West but their own governments and ruling elites, which have failed to prevent the corruption of their religion and to protect Muslim society from the unholy influence of the secular world. The United States and the Allies are being targeted because they are perceived to be in support of these oppressive governments and policies.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

25. There is a link between political repression and the rise of terrorist movements. However, we need to be careful with advocating regime change. We cannot and we must not impose our political system on others. Rather, our priority should be to help these countries allow political participation of all their citizens, rule of law and economic opportunity.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

26. Secular governments across the Broader Middle East face a surge in conservative religiosity that supports an extreme form of political Islam. Many countries in this region have suffered terrorist attacks from local groups that have emerged from the repressed extremists. Their governments have outlawed extreme Islamist parties that would be likely to win large parliamentary blocs - if not majorities - were they allowed to participate in free and fair national elections. But the circumscribed democracy that exists throughout the region also prevents more liberal elements of civil society from participating in politics as well. Islamist movements appear to be gaining ground and they can easily exploit the disenchantment with repressive, corrupt regimes, particularly among the young generation that forms a high percentage of the population in many Arab countries and many of whom are unemployed. Economic development alone, however, does not suffice to address the problem. In fact, a recent study by the Gallup Centre for Muslim Studies in ten Muslim countries showed that wealthier and better-educated Muslims are more likely to be radicalised. Islamist movements easily exploit the Internet and Arabic satellite TV stations to spread their militant political messages.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

VI. THE NEED FOR BROAD INTERNATIONAL CO-OPERATION

27. The fight against terrorism is being waged on many fronts: military, intelligence, diplomatic and financial. NATO's role in this fight is important, but limited. The fight against terrorist groups is principally a "war of ideas" and the principal weapons that the Allies should be applying are our freedom, our liberty, and our rule of law, as Colonel (ret.) Lawrence Wilkerson, former chief of staff to US Secretary of State Colin Powell, stressed during the visit of the Sub-Committee to the United States in July this year. To succeed in tackling terrorist groups the Allies need to have consistent policy approaches and need to act transparently, Colonel Wilkerson told the Sub-Committee. Moreover, international co-ordination and co-operation are and remain crucial to counter the threat, both at the international level, as well as on the bi-lateral level.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

A. THE ROLE OF THE UNITED NATIONS

28. The UN has much to contribute to the development and implementation of an effective strategy to combat internationally active terrorist groups. The UN's most important contribution is that it establishes a legal framework for counter-terrorism and that it provides legitimacy in combating terrorist groups. The UN Security Council (UNSC) has passed numerous binding counter-terrorism related resolutions. To monitor member states' implementation of these obligations and to strengthen their counter-terrorism infrastructures it also established a number of subsidiary bodies. Moreover, the UN General Assembly has adopted a Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy thus marking an important consensus in the UN on terrorism.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

29. Shortly after 9/11 the UNSC adopted Resolution 1373, which required all 191 UN member countries to freeze the financial assets of terrorists and their supporters, deny them travel or safe haven, prevent terrorist recruitment and weapons supply, and cooperate with other countries in information sharing and criminal prosecution. To monitor state compliance with these obligations and to strengthen their counter-terrorism capacities, Resolution 1373 created the Counter-Terrorism Committee (CTC).

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

30. UN activities have raised awareness among member states of the importance of international co-operation on counter-terrorism, but political and institutional limitations, including the consensus decision-making process that makes it difficult to take action in a timely fashion, as well as a lack of financial and human resources, impede the UN's efforts. Moreover, the UNSC's counter-terrorism activity has been mostly reactive, with the majority of Council resolutions dealing with terrorism having come in the aftermath of an attack or another major

event. As a result, the Security Council's efforts against terrorism are both incoherent and uncoordinated. The CTC relies exclusively on reports from member states and has no possibility of verifying if countries are actually implementing counter-terrorism mandates.

B. THE ROLE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

31. The 9/11 terrorist attacks, as well as the later attacks in Madrid and London, were also calls to action for the European Union. While the EU gives primary responsibility for the protection of citizens to the member states, the Union feels it can add value to the fight against terrorism by confronting networks of terror with networks against terror. Therefore, in the months following 9/11, European leaders agreed to a series of broad political measures intended to improve co-operation in the fight against terrorism. The EU's initial « Plan of Action to Combat Terrorism », agreed within the context of the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) in late September 2001, aimed to enhance police and judicial co-operation, while fighting terrorist financing and improving air security. This was followed by an agreement to create a European Arrest Warrant, thus speeding extradition of terrorist suspects and other criminals within the EU, and a common definition of terrorism. In March 2004, in the wake of the Madrid bombings, the EU member states agreed to a new "Declaration on Combating Terrorism" which, among other things, created an agreement on solidarity among the member states in the event of another terrorist attack. The EU also created the position of Counter-Terrorism Coordinator, who would report directly to the High Representative for CFSP. The EU has a number of instruments outside European Security and Defence Policy (ESDP) that are important in this area, such as an agreement on data sharing via Europol's antiterrorist cell, and Eurojust, designed to co-ordinate investigations and prosecutions between national prosecuting authorities.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

32. In the wake of the attacks in London in July 2005 the British Council Presidency sped the creation of a unified "EU Counter-terrorism Strategy." Along with its accompanying action plan, with its 160 specific measures, this Strategy is a comprehensive document combining steps to fight terrorism across all three EU pillars. The Strategy's headline commitment is "to combat terrorism globally while respecting human rights, and make Europe safer, allowing its citizens to live in an area of freedom, security and justice." It is important to note that security and the preservation of fundamental rights are given equal weight in this statement. The Strategy is divided into four work streams – prevent, protect, pursue, and respond – each of which has its own key priorities. The work streams aim to improve upon national efforts by strengthening national capabilities, facilitating European co-operation, developing collective capability, and promoting international partnership. The Council meets to review the progress on implementing the Strategy every six months, and prior to this meeting a High Level Political Dialogue on Counter-Terrorism takes place, with representatives from the Council, the Commission, and the European Parliament. The EU has also assisted the United States in the areas of police and judicial co-operation, in particular regarding regulation on extradition and police surveillance.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

33. While the EU has now created a unified counter-terrorism strategy, implementation of this strategy is left to the member states. In practice this means that member states differ radically in their counter-terrorism legislation. In general, smaller and less affluent states have had difficulties advancing their legislation as speedily as the larger member states, many of whom had counter-terrorism legislation even prior to 9/11. More co-operation among member states in implementation of counter-terrorism policies would certainly add to the security of the Union as a whole. Other areas for improvement within the EU framework are better sharing of information and best practice, and better co-ordination of counter-terrorism related external development assistance.

VII. THE DIFFICULTY OF MAINTAINING A "COMMON FRONT" AGAINST TERRORISM

34. Although 9/11 and other attacks such as those in Turkey in 2003, in Spain in 2004 and in the United Kingdom in 2005 created a general consensus that the transatlantic partners face a similar threat, there are significant differences, across the Atlantic, on the means to employ to counter the threat. In the United States, the terrorist attacks led to the largest expansion and restructuring of the security agencies in US history. This has not been the case in European member countries, as a number of them have been exposed to terrorism long before and had already adapted their law enforcement structures and legal means. However, the EU has introduced a broad array of structures and initiatives to allow for a more integrated and co-ordinated management of its policies to address the problem.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

35. Many governments have introduced new anti-terrorism legislation and the issue remains high on the agendas in member countries. Surveillance of private individuals and the collection of data about them has been greatly expanded. But there has also been concern that the character of our open societies may change for the worse. One of the challenges the Allies face is that they have differing answers on how to balance civil liberties against the need to increase security. The issue is primarily related to domestic legislation and it is up to every individual country to define the proper balance between civil liberties and increased state control. However, there are also important foreign and security aspects to it.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

36. NATO allies share the same values, including the emphasis on the "rule of law" and a strong case can be made that protecting human rights and strengthening democracy are essential over the long term to the fight against terrorism. Holding on to our values and obtaining the strongest international legitimacy will make it easier to combat terrorists. Allegations of war crimes and torture undermine our values and make it more difficult to reach out to Muslim communities as well as hurt our reputation and legitimacy abroad.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

37. Tackling terrorist groups also requires co-operation with countries that do not necessarily share our values. Terrorist movements often arise in societies where civil and human rights are denied and opportunities for political expression are lacking. The difficulty is that we also need to co-operate with repressive governments, some of which may use this co-operation for their own domestic purposes. While we also need to co-operate with repressive regimes, we need to consider short-term gains against long-term costs. We need to recognise that democracy can be a tool against terrorism and we must resist any temptation to weaken democratic values in our own societies. While we cannot and should not impose our values on others, we must be strong examples of how countries benefit from democracy and we must encourage other states to adhere to democratic values as well. We must consider that the potential costs of avoiding democratisation are far greater in terms of forsaking our values and weakening our long-term security.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

38. There is no trade-off to be made between human rights and terrorism and upholding human rights is not at odds with battling terrorism. On the contrary, the moral vision of human rights - the respect for the dignity of each person - is among our most powerful weapons against it. We must not torture, arrest without justification, and detain individuals without recourse to basic legal protections. These are means employed by terrorist groups like al Qaeda. To compromise on the protection of human rights would hand terrorists a victory they cannot achieve on their own. Therefore, the promotion and protection of human rights should be key part of our strategy to combat terrorism.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

39. We need to use the "soft power" of values and ideas as well as military strength to defeat extremism. We must avoid "politics of fear" - which is the primary weapon that terrorists employ

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

– and we must also reject the vision of Islam as the Evil Power. Most Muslims consider terrorist acts to be egregious violations of Islam's laws.

40. Another problem that our open societies face is how we deal with terrorists and insurgents, as the distinction between military and civilian combatants has become increasingly blurred. In addition to ignoring or violating many fundamental individual rights and liberties, the Bush Administration has been accused of acting in violation of international law, human rights, and the US Constitution in its execution of the campaign, particularly with regard to the internment of so-called "unlawful combatants" in its military prison at Guantánamo Bay, Cuba. Critics emphasise that it has detained many hundreds of prisoners, some of them American citizens, indefinitely, in secret, and without charge or access to a lawyer. Concerns have also been raised about the creation of special military tribunals where traditional safeguards to protect the innocent from conviction will not be available. Designated by the US authorities as "unlawful enemy combatants", who are thus denied the privileges of prisoner of war (POW) designation in accordance with the Geneva Conventions, many have been held for more than five years without knowing if or when they will be released or brought to any form of judicial process. But other countries, too, have difficulties in finding a "right" balance between upholding civil liberties and protecting against terrorist attacks and some of their anti-terror programmes have been criticized domestically and internationally.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

41. The Bush Administration has also been criticised over the rendition-flights programme of kidnapped suspects. At least 1,245 such flights in EU states have occurred since 2001 with the tacit approval and co-operation of some European governments, according to a report by the European Parliament.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

42. The existence of the CIA's secret prison system and allegations by some former prisoners that they were tortured in these secret facilities have made European public opinion more critical of the United States. In addition, critical media reports have prompted a closer look in Europe at the co-operation with United States intelligence agencies. Europeans are increasingly concerned about the US's use of airline and banking data of European citizens in terrorist profiling. A German court has ordered the arrest of 13 Americans, presumed to be CIA agents, in the "extraordinary rendition" of a German citizen who was later found not to have any connections to terrorism. Critics have chided the United States and some European governments for "outsourcing torture."

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

VIII. WHERE DO WE STAND?

43. Five years after President Bush declared the "war on terror" it is difficult to assess where we stand. There are no clear benchmarks against which we could measure success or possible failure. Moreover, the inherent secrecy of terrorist and government actions makes it almost impossible to provide a clear picture. Failure or success in combating terrorist groups becomes public only after an attack or after a foiled attempt. Calling the fight against international terrorist groups a "war on terror" has been a mistake. The term is vague and simplistic and suggests that we could win this struggle by applying primarily military means. Groups like al Qaeda are not governments that can be subdued by war but a diverse network of non-state actors spread across more than sixty countries.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

44. It appears that the general picture is mixed. One main success is certainly that the Taliban no longer rule Afghanistan. What is more, international awareness of and co-operation against terrorism has greatly increased. As to the bi-lateral and multi-lateral co-operation, a number of new initiatives have been developed, such as the Container Security Initiative (CSI). With regard to NATO, it has engaged in a major transformation which is directed to make it much more

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

responsive to fight terrorism. NATO has developed and expanded its capabilities that are important in tackling the threat posed by internationally active terrorist groups.

45. According to reports, a number of major terrorist attacks have been thwarted and numerous high-profile terrorists have been arrested or killed. Foiled plots like the trolley bomb case near Cologne in Germany in July 2006 and the London airplane in August, which had a large impact on civilian air travel and led to a substantial increase in airport security measures, demonstrate that the threat has not subsided, but that national and international authorities are making progress in tracking these threats.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

46. But "despite being forced to decentralise its network, al Qaeda retains the ability to organise complex, mass-casualty attacks and inspire others", as the director of the US Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA), General Michael Maples, submitted in a written statement to the US Senate Armed Services Committee earlier this year. Moreover, according to the 2006 US National Intelligence Estimate, al Qaeda may have been weakened since the 11 September 2001 attacks, but new radical Islamic groups and cells, which are inspired by Osama Bin Laden but not under his direct control, have been formed. The terror threat to EU member states is "more serious than ever", according to the annual report of Europol, the EU's police office. Al Qaeda propaganda is now of "greater sophistication and quality" and more "professional" than ever before and the radicalisation of young, disenchanted Muslims has become more rapid.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

47. As to Iraq, the security is highly fragile and the country is close to a civil war which could spill over to neighbouring countries. The presence of the US-led coalition is proving a recruiting tool for young radical Muslims worldwide, but a precipitous withdrawal of the troops would probably make the situation worse, thereby aggravating the terrorism problem.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

48. By concentrating on Iraq, we diverted already scarce resources from improving the situation in Afghanistan. As a result, we have made less progress there than was possible, and there is still unrest and instability in Afghanistan while the Taliban are resurging. Moreover, the focus on Iraq distracted us from addressing other important issues, in particular Iran, which has been accused of assisting terrorist organisations. American intelligence officials allege that Iranian paramilitary groups are providing weapons and sophisticated bombs to Iraqi Shiite groups thereby helping the insurgency there. Tehran was also able to continue pursuing its controversial nuclear programme. If Iran decided to develop nuclear weapons, it could lead to the further proliferation of WMD and would further destabilise the Gulf's already volatile security. The Iranian problem contains the basis for another transatlantic clash. The clash could emerge over whether the idea of Iran having nuclear weapons is something that is inevitable and worth the risk of war.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

49. The greatest threat to our security is a "nexus between terrorist networks, terrorist states and WMD" as former US Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld described. Here, too, the picture is mixed as international co-operation has increased and new partnerships developed. Programmes like the Global Initiative to Combat Nuclear Terrorism and the Proliferation Security Initiative (PSI) have generated additional capabilities among participating countries to combat the global threat of nuclear terrorism. However, there has been no progress with regard to the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty regime (NPT) which is worrisome, as the danger of WMD proliferation appears to increase and the possible nexus of terrorism, "states of concern" and WMD proliferation has become a major security concern.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

IX. PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS

50. Despite the achievements in combating terrorism, the threat posed by international terrorist groups has not abated. Although assessments like the 2007 US National Intelligence Estimate do not allow for much optimism that the fight against globally active Islamist terrorist groups can be won in the short term, the long term prognosis may be rather good. These groups suffer from significant strategic weaknesses as Peter Bergen, Adjunct professor at the School of Advanced International Studies at Johns Hopkins University, informed the Sub-Committee: Their lack of a positive vision and their willingness to accept the killing of innocent Muslims through their actions are depriving them of mass support and their expanding list of enemies will eventually lead to their defeat.

51. Although its role in this field is limited, NATO provides the essential transatlantic dimension in combating terrorism. Defeating radical Islamist terrorist groups requires vigorous law enforcement, intelligence, and military action. However, the operations in Afghanistan have shown that the "rules of the road" in this fight are not clearly agreed upon in the Alliance. Therefore, NATO should use its political-diplomatic clout more effectively to work towards greater policy coherence between the Allies. The Alliance needs to re-invigorate its ability to achieve political consensus, agree on common concept and act as a coalition. To that end, NATO needs to expand and refine some of the policies it has developed.

52. One of the areas where NATO could take on additional responsibility is homeland defence, and its role in this area could be to co-ordinate national policies across the Atlantic. Certainly the main responsibility for protecting citizens from terrorist attacks belongs with national authorities and homeland security will always remain an essential competence of every nation's government. However, NATO has already had a role in homeland defence and homeland security since the Cold War. What is more, military forces have always co-operated with civilian authorities in responding to natural disasters, sealing borders or protecting critical infrastructures. NATO's role in homeland defence must not detract from national and EU capabilities and institutions in this field but rather complement these. Thus NATO could develop a co-ordination and planning role to warrant that Allies' capabilities and activities are adapted and integrated to deal with these new threats.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

53. NATO's political clout should also be used more effectively with regard to partner countries. As the Broader Middle East is of critical strategic importance, the Allies should expand the engagement with neighbouring states by developing existing programmes like the Mediterranean Dialogue and the Istanbul Co-operation Initiative (ICI) using the experience and assets of NATO's Partnership for Peace.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

54. A just and lasting solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict would go a long way in tackling the problem of Islamist terrorism. Although NATO is not involved in settling this conflict, NATO should consider adding its political and diplomatic weight to finding a solution. For example, the NAC could be used to devise joint transatlantic initiatives that could help to co-ordinate the policies and initiatives of member countries as well as those of the United States and the EU. Moreover, NATO could provide additional training assistance to Mediterranean Dialogue or ICI partner countries. A greater emphasis should be placed on co-operation with countries that develop democratic structures and respect human rights. Here too, it would be important to co-ordinate with the EU as the latter could offer non-military assistance and training that are relevant in tackling terrorism.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

55. Finally, the developments in the last five years have underlined the importance of public diplomacy, both in terms of maintaining support at home and co-operation abroad. Although the role of the Alliance is limited in this area, NATO can and should do more to build public support for the operations in Afghanistan and to explain the Alliance as a values-based organisation. The fight against Islamist terrorist groups is a struggle of ideas and we need to do better in dealing with the radicalisation of Islam. Allies need to support moderate Islamic forces who can show how al Qaeda and similar groups are distorting the Koran. NATO allies should better orchestrate their activities among themselves. To that end NATO's capacities should be strengthened and more funding as well as expertise made available.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering